

Tschebotareff's theorem on the product of non-homogeneous linear forms

By

L. J. MORDELL (Manchester).

(Als Manuskript eingegangen am 28. Dez. 1939.)

§ 1. Let

$$L_r(x) + c_r = \sum_{s=1}^n a_{rs} x_s + c_r, \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (1)$$

be n non-homogeneous linear forms, where the a 's and c 's are real and where, without loss of generality, the determinant $\|a_{rs}\| = 1$.

An unproved hypothesis due to MINKOWSKI asserts that there exists a lattice point (x) , i. e. a set of integer values for the x 's, such that

$$\prod_{r=1}^n |L_r(x) + c_r| \leq \frac{1}{2^n}. \quad (2)$$

In a lecture⁽¹⁾ at the Oslo International Mathematical Congress in 1936, I recalled that MINKOWSKI had proved the result for $n = 2$ and that various simple proofs were known; and that for $n = 3$ a very lengthy and complicated proof¹⁾ was given by REMAK⁽²⁾. I also said I knew of no general result when $n \geq 4$, not even the existence of a number M , independent of the coefficients a and c , such that (2), with M in place of $1/2^n$, has always a lattice point solution.

In a letter to me in October 1937, Prof. SIEGEL gave an account of his new method for reducing a set of homogeneous linear forms. He applied this to prove the existence of an M without, however, giving any estimate for it. I gave an account of his method at my seminar, and it suggested to DAVENPORT⁽⁴⁾ a method which led to an estimate for $M(n)$, the lower bound of admissible numbers M , namely

¹⁾ Last year, DAVENPORT⁽³⁾ gave an exceedingly simple proof of REMAK's result.

$$M(n) \leq (n 2^{n-1} \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}n\right) (n!)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n)^n. \quad (3)$$

Shortly afterwards, Prof. TSCHEBOTAREFF drew my attention to a paper⁽⁵⁾ of his published in 1934 in Russian in a rather inaccessible Russian journal, which had escaped the notice of the reviewers, in which he proved the important result

$$M(n) \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}n}. \quad (4)$$

His method is so simple that it is surprising that it escaped notice for so long a time. I notice now that his proof can be slightly modified, and by using a recent result of mine, I prove²⁾

$$M(n) \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{2}n} / [1 + (\sqrt{2} - 1)^n]. \quad (5)$$

§ 2. Let m^n be the lower bound of the left hand side of (2) for lattice points (x) . Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, a lattice point (x) exists such that

$$\prod_{r=1}^n |L_r(x) + c_r| < (m + \varepsilon)^n,$$

and on changing the origin, we may suppose (x) is the origin (0). Hence, we have, say

$$m^n \leq c^n = |c_1 c_2 \dots c_n| < (m + \varepsilon)^n. \quad (6)$$

On replacing $L_r(x) + c_r$ by $\frac{c}{c_r} (L_r(x) + c_r)$, which does not alter the product of the linear forms (1), or the determinant of the a 's, we may suppose that the c 's are all equal to c . Hence we are given that for all lattice points (x)

$$\prod_{r=1}^n |L_r(x) + c| \geq m^n > (c - \varepsilon)^n, \quad (7)$$

(where the $L_r(x)$ now depend on ε), and replacing (x) by $(-x)$ and multiplying, we have

$$\prod_{r=1}^n |L_r^2(x) - c^2| \geq (c - \varepsilon)^{2n}. \quad (8)$$

The use of this product is TSCHEBOTAREFF'S idea, but now I proceed rather differently.

Apply the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means to the square of (8). Then

²⁾ This result was also found independently by DAVENPORT a few days after I found it. His method involves ideas not very dissimilar from mine. Dr. DAVENPORT also informs me that he has since found a slightly better result.

$$n(c - \varepsilon)^4 \leq \sum_{r=1}^n [L_r^2(x) - c^2]^2,$$

$$2c^2 \sum_{r=1}^n L_r^2(x) \leq \sum_{r=1}^n L_r^4(x) + nc^4 - n(c - \varepsilon)^4,$$

Hence, if $(x) \neq (0)$,

$$2c^2 \leq \frac{\sum_{r=1}^n L_r^4(x)}{\sum_{r=1}^n L_r^2(x)} + \frac{\varepsilon^4}{\sum_{r=1}^n L_r^2(x)}$$

say, and so

$$2c^2 \leq \max_{r=1, \dots, n} L_r^2(x) + \varepsilon^4 / \sum_{r=1}^n L_r^2(x). \tag{9}$$

Suppose now that λ is a number independent of ε and is such that the inequalities

$$|L_r(x)| \leq \lambda, \quad (r=1, 2, \dots, n) \tag{10}$$

have a lattice-point solution $(y) \neq (0)$. We may then assume for at least one of the L 's that $|L(y)| \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda$. This is clear on taking, if need be, instead of (y) the lattice point (py) , where p is a suitably chosen integer.

Let the (x) in (9) be (y) and take the limit of both sides as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$2m^2 \leq \lambda^2 \tag{11}$$

If $\lambda = 1$, it is well known that (10) has a solution and so (11) gives TSCHEBOTAREFF'S result $2m^2 \leq 1$.

§ 3. To improve this result, I use the following theorem which I published ⁽⁵⁾ a few years ago.

Let $L_r(x)$ and c_r be as in (1) and let μ_r, ν_r ($r=1, 2, \dots, n$) be two sets of positive numbers such that

$$\prod_{r=1}^n \mu_r + \prod_{r=1}^n \nu_r \geq 1. \tag{12}$$

Then there exists a solution of at least one of the three sets of inequalities

- (A) $|L_r(x)| \leq \mu_r, \quad (x) \neq (0), \quad (r=1, 2, \dots, n)$
- (B) $|L_r(x)| \leq \nu_r, \quad (x) \neq (0), \quad (r=1, 2, \dots, n)$
- (C) $|L_r(x) + c_r| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\mu_r + \nu_r) \quad (r=1, 2, \dots, n)$

Take all the μ 's equal to ϱ , say, and all the ν 's equal to $\sqrt[n]{1-\varrho^n}$, so that (12) is satisfied if $0 < \varrho < 1$.

Hence we deduce from (A), (B) and (11)

$$2m^2 \leq \varrho^2, \quad 2m^2 \leq \sqrt[n]{(1-\varrho^n)^2},$$

and from (C)

$$m \leq \frac{1}{2} (\varrho + \sqrt[n]{1-\varrho^n}).$$

Take ϱ now such that

$$\frac{\varrho}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (\varrho + \sqrt[n]{1-\varrho^n}),$$

or

$$\varrho^n = \frac{1}{1 + (\sqrt{2}-1)^n}.$$

Since $\varrho^n > \frac{1}{2}$, $\varrho^2 > \sqrt[n]{(1-\varrho^n)^2}$,

$$m^n \leq \frac{1}{2^{\frac{1}{2}n} (1 + (\sqrt{2}-1)^n)},$$

and so (5) is proved.

(1) L. J. MORDELL, "MINKOWSKI'S theorems and hypotheses on linear forms". *Comptes Rendus du Congrès International des Mathématiciens* (1) (1936), 236—238.

(2) R. REMAK, «Verallgemeinerung eines Minkowski'schen Satzes». I. *Math. Z.* 17 (1923) 1—34, II. *Math. Z.* (18) 137—200.

(3) H. DAVENPORT, "A simple proof of REMAK'S theorem on the product of three linear forms". *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 14 (1939), 47—51.

(4) H. DAVENPORT, "Note on a result of SIEGEL". *Acta Arithmetica*, 2 (1937), 262—265.

(5) N. G. TSCHEBOTAREFF, "Note on algebra and the theory of numbers". *Scientific notes of Kazan University*, 94 (1934), 14—16. (In Russian.) For a German translation of the paper, see this volume, pg. 27.

(6) L. J. MORDELL, "An arithmetical theorem on linear forms". *Acta Arithmetica*, 2 (1937), 173—176.